

From: colin hales <colinhales@hotmail.com>
To: colin hales <colinhales@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 27 February 2026 at 07:29:55 GMT
Subject: LAA EGM Requisition.

Hi there all.

After careful consideration, a group of LAA inspectors and members concluded that the treatment I had received and the processes carried out by the LAA Chairman in expelling me and the fact that there seems such a cover up of events, we decided that the only option to bring it all out into the open for all to see, was to call for an EGM. Unfortunate and sad, but necessary.

This process is quite clear and a request was sent in to the LAA to publish in their magazine and email out to all the members the LAA EGM Requisition, which is attached.

The response we got back from the LAA Chairman was as follows.

Dear Colin,

Thank you for your email dated 12 February.

The attached requisition for an EGM does not meet the requirement's of the company's articles of association and is therefore invalid. The association will not be circulating any documentation until such time as an EGM is formally constituted.

This then seems like chicken and egg. You can't request that the LAA Chairman circulates an EGM requisition to all the members in order for them to sign and legitimatise the EGM until the EGM is formally constituted.

Well if that is the case, then you are screwed.

You can't actually call for an EGM unless the LAA Chairman allows you the privilege of contacting all the members calling for an EGM? Only he can write to all the members and agree for the requisition to be published in the magazine, if he doesn't, you can't hold an EGM. How wrong is that??? Especially when the EGM is targeted at his abuse of power.

The Chairman can email and publish 'Open Letters' from members who claim to have been my McKensie lawyer and were with me all the way, which is just pure fantasy by the way, to all the members, yet he won't publish a serious requisition for an EGM to the members. It rather stinks of protectionism.

Anyway, we have a prestigious LAA member working on this matter as I type and this is what we are waiting for. If we are to hold an EGM then all the members should be involved in the process right from the beginning and be allowed the opportunity to sign the requisition. So lets hope the LAA will publish the requisition, but it is looking doubtful.

Meantime, just between the members I know and who have written to me in disgust of what has gone on, we have been gaining signatures. Presently I'm still short by some 6 signatures to the number I had considered sufficient to call the EGM, but they are still slowly coming in. Yet even if I had sufficient signatures now, I would still wait to see if we can get the Chairman to publish the requisition anyway, as has been asked. Again, if the LAA Chairman refuses to publish the EGM requisition, then it just goes to show how the legislation needs to be changed, as presently, you can't even call an EGM against the Chairman, however many mistakes or lies he wants to make, if he says "No!"

I have said it before and I will say it again and again, having to hold the EGM is so disappointing and it makes me sad, but the LAA Chairman simply shouldn't be able to behave this way, spouting out such deceit and untrue statements and steam-roll or white-wash events, as he clearly has been. At the EGM, the Chairman will have to answer directly to the members and he will have nothing to hide behind and then finally his errors and complacency will come out for all to see.

So we are back to trying to make the LAA members aware that we are trying to acquire enough signatures to call for an EGM, but without a voice or method of doing so.

Hence this email to your strut. One strut Chairman has already said he will not send to members the attached EGM requisition for them to decide what they want for their association, because and I quote, "It is too political!" How can this be too political? That is like telling the UK citizens not to vote, because its too political. If the LAA members do not want to see this debacle cleared up and have confidence in their chairman, we won't get the necessary signatures. If they do we will. It is that simple. But you have to let the members decide not LAA Chairmen or Strut Chairmen. Let the members decide. They will have a final vote anyway at the EGM.

So the request is here to please send the attached LAA EGM Requisition to your strut members. We are close to the required number anyway, where an EGM can be validated seemingly against the best wishes of the Chairman and hopefully then we can force him to publish the EGM requisition.

Thanks for any help in improving your LAA, as this is no way to run an association, where inspectors and members are now fearful to speak up, in case they are expelled, as I was.

Best regards Colin H.